## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

ANTHONY TRUPIA,	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
•	) Cose No. CTV 25 (	201 115
v. JOHN ROBERTS et al.,	) Case No. CIV-25-3	)AT-UE
Defendants.	)	

## REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Plaintiff has filed an Application to Proceed in District Court without Prepaying Fees or Costs (Motion) (ECF No. 2) in conformance with 28 U.S.C. § 1915. Pursuant to the procedures of this Court the motion was reviewed by the undersigned. Having reviewed the Motion, the undersigned finds that Plaintiff has sufficient funds to prepay the filing fee of \$405.00.¹ Plaintiff's Motion lists sufficient funds available in cash or in a savings/checking account to pay the required full filing fee. *See* ECF No. 2:2.

Proceeding IFP "in a civil case is a privilege, not a right – fundamental or otherwise." White v. Colorado, 157 F.3d 1226, 1233 (10th Cir. 1998). "[T]o succeed on a motion to proceed IFP, the movant must show a financial inability to pay the required filing fees." Lister v. Dep't of the Treasury, 408 F.3d 1309, 1312 (10th Cir. 2005). The court evaluates "an application to proceed [IFP] . . . in light of the applicant's present financial status." Scherer v. Kansas, 263 F. App'x 667, 669 (10th Cir. 2008).

 $^1$  The filing fee is \$350.00. See 28 U.S.C. § 1914(a). In addition, an administrative fee of \$55.00 must be paid. See Judicial Conf. Sched. of Fees, Dist. Ct. Misc. Fee Sched. ¶ 14.

Because Plaintiff does not qualify for authorization to proceed without prepayment of the filing fee, it is recommended that Plaintiff's Motion, **(ECF No. 2)**, be **DENIED** and that Plaintiff be ordered to pay the full \$405.00 filing fee for this action to proceed. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(1).<sup>2</sup>

It is recommended that this action be dismissed without prejudice to refiling unless Plaintiff pays the \$405.00 filing fee in full to the Clerk of the Court within **twenty (20)**days of any order adopting this Report and Recommendation.

Plaintiff is advised that Plaintiff may file an objection to this Report and Recommendation with the Clerk of this Court by **May 2, 2025**. Plaintiff is further advised that failure to timely object to this Report and Recommendation waives the right to appellate review of both factual and legal issues contained herein. *Casanova v. Ulibarri*, 595 F.3d 1120, 1123 (10th Cir. 2010).

This report and recommendation disposes of all matters referred to the undersigned magistrate judge in the captioned matter.

ENTERED on April 15, 2025.

SHON T. ERWIN
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Lister v. Department of the Treasury, 408 F.3d at 1312 (magistrate judge should issue a report and recommendation when denying motion to proceed *in forma pauperis*.).